arXiv:1401.5640v1 [math.RA] 22 Jan 2014

THE EULER VALUATION ON MV-ALGEBRAS

DANIELE MUNDICI[†] AND ANDREA PEDRINI [‡]

Abstract. Every finitely presented MV-algebra A has a unique idempotent valuation E assigning value 1 to every basic element of A. For each $a \in A$, $\mathsf{E}(a)$ turns out to coincide with the Euler characteristic of the open set of maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}$ of A such that $a/\mathfrak{m}$ is nonzero.

to Ján Jakubík, on his 90th birthday

1. Introduction

For all unexplained notions concerning MV-algebras we refer to [3] and [8]. We refer to [6] for background on algebraic topology. A *rational polyhedron* in $[0,1]^d$ is a finite union of simplexes with rational vertices in $[0,1]^d$. As shown in [5, §4] and [8, §3.4], rational polyhedra are dually equivalent to finitely presented MV-algebras. In the light of [8, 6.3], any finitely presented MV-algebra A can be identified with the MV-algebra $\mathcal{M}(P)$ of all McNaughton functions over some rational polyhedron $P \subseteq [0,1]^d$, for some $d = 1, 2, \ldots$. In [2] and [8, 6.3] it is proved that A is finitely presented iff it has a basis B. An element $x \in A$ is said to be *basic* if it belongs to some basis of A. We let $\mu(A)$ denote the maximal spectral space of the MV-algebra A. By [8, 4.16], for every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \in \mu(A)$ the quotient MV-algebra $A/\mathfrak{m}$ is uniquely isomorphic to a subalgebra J of the standard MV-algebra $[0,1]$. Identifying $A/\mathfrak{m}$ and J, for any $a \in A$, the element $a/\mathfrak{m}$ becomes a real number. We write $\text{support}(a) = \{\mathfrak{m} \in \mu(A) \mid a/\mathfrak{m} > 0\}$. When $A = \mathcal{M}(P)$ is finitely presented, $\text{support}(a)$ is homeomorphic to the complementary set in P of a rational polyhedron in $[0,1]^d$ (see [8, §4.5, 6.2]). By definition, the Euler characteristic $\chi(\text{support}(a))$ is the alternating sum of the Betti numbers of $\text{support}(a)$, as given by singular homology theory. This is homotopy invariant. For any piecewise linear continuous function $l\colon [0,1]^d \to [0,1]$ the set $\{x \in [0,1]^d \mid l(x) > 0\}$ is homotopy equivalent to $\{x \in [0,1]^d \mid l(x) \geq \epsilon\}$ for all small enough $\epsilon > 0$: an exercise in (piecewise linear) Morse theory, [1, 4] shows that the latter is a deformation retract of the former. As a consequence,

$$\chi(\{x \in [0,1]^d \mid l(x) > 0\}) = \chi(\{x \in [0,1]^d \mid l(x) \geq \epsilon\}),\ \forall \epsilon > 0 \text{ small enough.} \quad (1)$$

As an MV-algebraic variant of the main result of [9], in this paper we prove:

Theorem 1.1. *For any finitely presented MV-algebra A let the map $\mathsf{E}\colon A \to \mathbb{Z}$ be given by $\mathsf{E}(a) = \chi(\text{support}(a))$, for all $a \in A$. Then E has the following properties:*

(i) $\mathsf{E}(0) = 0$.

(ii) (Normalization) $\mathsf{E}(b) = 1$ *for each basic element b of A.*

(iii) (Idempotency) *For all $p, q \in A$, $\mathsf{E}(p \oplus q) = \mathsf{E}(p \vee q)$.*

(iv) (Additivity) E *is a* valuation*: for all $p, q \in A$, $\mathsf{E}(p \vee q) = \mathsf{E}(p) + \mathsf{E}(q) - \mathsf{E}(p \wedge q)$.*

Date: January 23, 2014.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 06D35 Secondary: 57Q05, 52B05, 55U10.

Key words and phrases. Euler characteristic, valuation, MV-algebra, basis, rational polyhedron, finite presentation, duality, inclusion-exclusion, additivity.

Conversely, properties (i)-(iv) uniquely characterize E *among all real-valued functions defined on* A.

The main reason of interest for this result, and of distinction from [9], is the combination of MV-algebraic base theory [8, §§5,6] with piecewise linear Morse theory [1, 4] to the construction of a Turing computable Euler valuation on every finitely presented MV-algebra A, and its characterization as the Euler characteristic χ of the support of all $a \in A$. The proofs in this paper use sophisticated MV-algebraic techniques that are not available in the context of Riesz spaces of [9].

2. MV-algebraic lemmas

Lemma 2.1. ([8, 6.3] and [8, 6.4]) *A is a finitely presented MV-algebra iff A has a basis off A has the form $\mathcal{M}(P)$ for some rational polyhedron $P \subseteq [0,1]^d$ in some euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a basis of A. Then P can be chosen so that there is a regular triangulation Δ of P and an isomorphism of A onto $\mathcal{M}(P)$ sending the elements of $\mathcal{B}$ one-one onto the elements of the Schauder basis $\mathcal{H}_\Delta$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{H}_\Delta$ be a Schauder basis of an MV-algebra $A = \mathcal{M}(P)$, for some regular triangulation Δ of P. Let $b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_u$ be distinct elements of $\mathcal{B}$, with their respective vertices $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_u$. Let us define $b_0^0 = b_0$, $\mathcal{B}^0 = \mathcal{B}$, $\Delta(0) = \Delta$, and inductively, $c_k = b_k \wedge b_0^{k-1}$, $b_0^k = b_0^{k-1} \ominus c_k$, $a_k = b_k \ominus c_k$, and*

$$\mathcal{B}^k = (\mathcal{B}^{k-1} \setminus \{b_0^{k-1}, b_k\}) \cup \{a_k, b_0^k\} \cup \{c_k, \text{ if } c_k \neq 0\}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, u.$$

(i) *Then $b_0 \wedge \bigoplus_{i=1}^u b_i$ coincides with $c_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus c_u$, where any two nonzero c_i, c_j are distinct elements of $\mathcal{B}^u$.*

(ii) *For each $k = 1, \ldots, u$, $\mathcal{B}^k = \mathcal{H}_{\Delta(k)}$, where the regular triangulation $\Delta(k)$ is obtained by blowing up $\Delta(k-1)$ at the Farey mediant w_k of the segment $\mathrm{conv}(v_0, v_k)$, provided $\mathrm{conv}(v_0, v_k) \in \Delta(k-1)$. Otherwise, $\Delta(k) = \Delta(k-1)$.*

Proof. The proof proceeds by induction on $k = 1, \ldots, u$. If $k = 1$, by definition, $b_0 \wedge b_1 = b_0^0 \wedge b_1 = c_1$. If $c_1 = 0$, then upon letting $\mathcal{B}^1 = \mathcal{B}$, (i)-(ii) are settled. If $c_1 \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{B}^1 = \mathcal{B} \setminus \{b_0, b_1\} \cup \{c_1, b_0 \ominus c_1, b_1 \ominus c_1\}$ is the Schauder basis $\mathcal{H}_{\Delta(1)}$ of the regular triangulation $\Delta(1)$ of P obtained from Δ via a Farey blow-up at the Farey mediant w_1 of the 1-simplex $\mathrm{conv}(v_0, v_1)$ of Δ. Trivially, (i) is satisfied. By [3, 9.2.1], $\mathcal{B}^1 = \mathcal{H}_{\Delta(1)}$ satisfies (ii).

Inductively, assume the claim holds for all $l < k$. In particular, $b_0 \wedge \bigoplus_1^{k-1} b_i = \bigoplus_1^{k-1} c_i$, with $c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1} \in \mathcal{B}^{k-1} \cup \{0\}$. Further, $\mathcal{B}^{k-1}$ is a basis of A.

We will prove (i) arguing pointwise for each $p \in P$:

Case 1: $b_0 \leq \bigoplus_1^{k-1} b_i \leq \bigoplus_1^k b_i$.

If there is $j \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$ such that $b_0^{j-1} \leq b_j$ at p, then $c_j = b_0^{j-1}$ and $b_0^{i-1} = c_i = 0$, for all $i > j$. In particular $c_k = 0$. Therefore, $b_0 \wedge \bigoplus_1^k b_i = b_0 = b_0 \wedge \bigoplus_1^{k-1} b_i = \bigoplus_1^{k-1} c_i = \bigoplus_1^k c_i$ at p, whence the identity (i) trivially holds at p.

If there is no $j \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$ such that $b_0^{j-1} \leq b_j$ at p then $b_i < b_0^{i-1} \leq b_0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$. As a consequence, $c_i = b_i$ and $b_0^i = b_0 - \bigoplus_1^i b_j$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$. It follows that $b_0^{k-1} = b_0 - \bigoplus_1^{k-1} b_i = 0$, and again $c_k = 0$. Then $b_0 \wedge \bigoplus_1^k b_i = b_0 = b_0 \wedge \bigoplus_1^{k-1} b_i = \bigoplus_1^{k-1} c_i = \bigoplus_1^k c_i$, and (i) is satisfied at p.

Case 2: $\bigoplus_1^{k-1} b_i \leq \bigoplus_1^k b_i < b_0$.

By way of contradiction, suppose there is a (smallest) index j such that $b_0^{j-1} < b_j$. Then $j > 1$, $b_i \leq b_0^{i-1}$, and $c_i = b_i$, for all $i < j$. As a consequence, $b_0^j = b_0 - \bigoplus_1^{j-1} b_i$, whence $b_0^j - b_j = b_0 - \bigoplus_1^j b_i > 0$ and $b_0^{j-1} > b_j$, which is a contradiction.

We have just shown that $b_i \le b_0^{i-1}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. In particular $c_k = b_k$, whence $b_0 \wedge \bigoplus_1^k b_i = \bigoplus_1^k b_i = \bigoplus_1^{k-1} b_i \oplus b_k = (b_0 \wedge \bigoplus_1^{k-1} b_i) \oplus b_k = \bigoplus_1^{k-1} c_i \oplus c_k = \bigoplus_1^k c_i$, thus showing that identity (i) holds at p.

Case 3: $\bigoplus_1^{k-1} b_i < b_0 \le \bigoplus_1^k b_i$.

As in the previous case, for all $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ we have $b_i \le b_0^{i-1}$, whence $c_i = b_i$ and $b_0^i = b_0 - \bigoplus_1^{i-1} b_j$. Moreover, $b_0^{k-1} = b_0 - \bigoplus_1^{k-1} b_i$ and $c_k = b_k \wedge (b_0 - \bigoplus_1^{k-1} b_i)$. Therefore, $b_0 \wedge \bigoplus_1^k b_i = (b_0 - \bigoplus_1^{k-1} b_i \oplus \bigoplus_1^{k-1} b_i) \wedge (b_k \oplus \bigoplus_1^{k-1} b_i) = ((b_0 - \bigoplus_1^{k-1} b_i) \wedge b_k) \oplus \bigoplus_1^{k-1} b_i = c_k \oplus \bigoplus_1^{k-1} c_i = \bigoplus_1^k c_i$, which shows that (i) holds at p.

We have shown that identity (i) holds on P.

We now prove that $\mathcal{B}^k$ satisfies (ii). By induction hypothesis, $\mathcal{B}^{k-1} = \mathcal{H}_{\Delta(k-1)}$, where $\Delta(k-1)$ is obtained from $\Delta(0) = \Delta$ via Farey blow-ups.

If $c_k = 0$, then $\mathcal{B}^k = \mathcal{B}^{k-1} = \mathcal{H}_{\Delta(k-1)}$. We show that $\Delta(k-1) = \Delta(k)$. By way of contradiction, let $\mathrm{conv}(v_0, v_k)$ be a simplex of $\Delta(k-1)$. Since both b_0^{k-1} and b_k are linear on $\mathrm{conv}(v_0, v_k)$, then v_0 is the unique point of $\mathrm{conv}(v_0, v_k)$ where b_k vanishes, and v_k is the unique point of $\mathrm{conv}(v_0, v_k)$ where b_0 vanishes. Therefore, $c_k > 0$ on the (nonempty) set $\mathrm{conv}(v, v_k) \setminus \{v_0, v_k\}$, a contradiction. Having thus shown that $\mathrm{conv}(v_0, v_k) \notin \Delta(k-1)$, we obtain $\Delta(k-1) = \Delta(k)$ and $\mathcal{B}^k = \mathcal{H}_{\Delta(k)}$.

If $c_k \neq 0$ then by [3, 9.2.1] $\mathcal{B}^k = \mathcal{H}_{\Delta(k)}$.

The proof is complete. □

Remark 2.3. In Lemma 2.2 each $\oplus$ operation is only applied to Schauder hats belonging to the same basis. Therefore, each $\oplus$ symbol in the statement and in the proof of the lemma can be replaced by the addition $+$ symbol. Similarly, in all expressions $b_0^{k-1} \ominus c_k$ and $b_k \ominus c_k$, each $\ominus$ operation can be replaced by the subtraction $-$ operation, because it is always the case that $c_k \le b_0^{k-1}$ and $c_k \le b_k$.

3. The open support of a finitely presented MV-algebra

Let A be a finitely presented MV-algebra. By Lemma 2.1 we can identify A with $\mathcal{M}(P)$ for some rational polyhedron $P \subseteq [0,1]^d$. For each element $a \in A$ the rational polyhedron $\mathrm{oneset}(a) \subseteq [0,1]^d$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{oneset}(a) = \{x \in P \mid a(x) = 1\} = \{\mathfrak{m} \in \mu(A) \mid a/\mathfrak{m} = 1\}.$$

For each $\lambda \in [0,1]$, we also let

$$\mathrm{uplevelset}_\lambda(a) = \{x \in P \mid a(x) \ge \lambda\} = \{\mathfrak{m} \in \mu(A) \mid a/\mathfrak{m} \ge \lambda\}.$$

Following [8], we let $2 \centerdot a = a \oplus a$ and inductively, $(n+1) \centerdot a = a \oplus n \centerdot a$.

Lemma 3.1. *With the above notation we have*

$$\chi(\mu(A)) = \chi(\mathrm{oneset}(1)) = \chi(\mathrm{support}(1)) = \chi(P). \tag{2}$$

Further, for any $a \in A$, the open set $\mathrm{support}(a)$ *is homotopy equivalent to the rational polyhedron* $\mathrm{uplevelset}_{1/n}(a)$*, for all large integers n; as a matter of fact, the latter is a deformation retract of the former. Thus, in particular,*

$$\chi(\mathrm{support}(a)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \chi(\mathrm{oneset}(n \centerdot a)).$$

Proof. The verification of (2) is trivial. To prove the remaining statements, for all $0 < m \le n$ we have $\mathrm{oneset}(m \centerdot a) \subseteq \mathrm{oneset}(n \centerdot a)$. For all large n, $\mathrm{oneset}((n+1) \centerdot a)$ collapses into $\mathrm{oneset}(n \centerdot a)$. Thus all these rational polyhedra are homotopic, and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \chi(\mathrm{oneset}(n \centerdot a)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \chi(\mathrm{uplevelset}_{1/n}(a))$ exists. (Intuitively, a retraction is given by the map sending each point at the boundary of $\mathrm{oneset}((n+1) \centerdot b)$ to the point at the boundary of $\mathrm{oneset}(n \centerdot b)$ given by the line originating from the vertex of the simplex of the free face of this point.)

The proof now follows from (1). □

Lemma 3.2. *For each element a of a finitely presented MV-algebra $A = \mathcal{M}(P)$, the integer $\chi(\mathrm{support}(a))$ coincides with the Euler characteristic of the supplement in $P = \mu(A)$ of the set*

$$a^{-1}(0) = \{x \in P \mid a(x) = 0\} = \{\mathfrak{m} \in \mu(A) \mid a/\mathfrak{m} = 0\} = P \setminus \mathrm{support}(a).$$

Proof. From the first part of the proof of [6, 5.3.9]. □

4. Proof of Theorem: uniqueness

Lemma 2.1 yields an integer $d > 0$ together with a rational polyhedron $P \subseteq [0,1]^d$ such that A can be identified with $\mathcal{M}(P)$ without loss of generality. Let E_1 and E_2 be real-valued functions on A satisfying (i)-(iv). By (i), they agree at 0. Let a be a nonzero element of A. By [8, §6.2,6.3], there is a regular triangulation Δ of P such that a (is linear over every simplex of Δ, and) can be written as

$$a = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{u} m_i \centerdot b_i = \sum_{i=0}^{u} m_i b_i,$$

for distinct Schauder hats $b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_u$ of Δ and integers $m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_u > 0$. Since E_2 satisfies the idempotency condition (iii),

$$\mathsf{E}_2(a) = \mathsf{E}_2(\sum_{i=0}^{u} m_i b_i) = \mathsf{E}_2(\sum_{i=0}^{u} b_i) = \mathsf{E}_2(b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{u} b_i) = \mathsf{E}_2(b_0 \vee \sum_{i=1}^{u} b_i).$$

Since E_2 satisfies the additivity property (iv),

$$\mathsf{E}_2(b_0 \vee \sum_{i=1}^{u} b_i) = \mathsf{E}_2(b_0) + \mathsf{E}_2(\sum_{i=1}^{u} b_i) - \mathsf{E}_2(b_0 \wedge \sum_{i=1}^{u} b_i).$$

By Lemma 2.2(i) there is a Schauder basis $\mathcal{B}'$ together with elements $b'_1, \ldots, b'_u \in \mathcal{B}' \cup \{0\}$ such that $b_0 \wedge \sum_{i=1}^{u} b_i = \sum_{j=1}^{u} b'_j$. Consequently,

$$\mathsf{E}_2(a) = \mathsf{E}_2(b_0) + \mathsf{E}_2(\sum_{i=1}^{u} b_i) - \mathsf{E}_2(\sum_{j=1}^{u} b'_j).$$

Arguing by induction on u we conclude that the value $\mathsf{E}_2(a)$ is computed by a linear polynomial function ρ (uniquely determined by Δ) of the values of E_2 on finitely many basic elements of A. The same holds for E_1, with the same Δ and ρ. By the normalization condition (ii), E_1 and E_2 agree on basic elements, whence they agree on a. Therefore $\mathsf{E}_1 = \mathsf{E}_2$.

5. End of proof of Theorem: E has properties (i)-(iv)

(i) Trivially, $\chi(\mathrm{support}(0)) = \chi(\emptyset) = 0$.

(ii) Since A is finitely presented, by [8, 6.3] A has a basis $\mathcal{B}$. Writing as above $A = \mathcal{M}(P)$, each basic element of $\mathcal{M}(P)$ becomes a Schauder hat b in the Schauder basis $\mathcal{B}$. For all large n the rational polyhedron $\mathrm{oneset}(n \centerdot b)$ is contractible to the vertex of the Schauder hat b. Therefore, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \chi(\mathrm{oneset}(n \centerdot b)) = 1$, and by Lemma 3.1, $\chi(\mathrm{support}(b)) = 1 = \mathsf{E}(b)$, as desired.

(iii) Trivially, $\mathrm{support}(p \vee q) = \mathrm{support}(p \oplus q)$.

(iv) In view of Lemma 3.1, let the integer n be so large that

$$\mathsf{E}(p) = \chi(\mathrm{oneset}(n \centerdot p)),\ \mathsf{E}(q) = \chi(\mathrm{oneset}(n \centerdot q)),\ \mathsf{E}(p \vee q) = \chi(\mathrm{oneset}(n \centerdot (p \vee q))),$$

and $\mathsf{E}(p \wedge q) = \chi(\text{oneset}(n \,.\, (p \wedge q)))$. Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{E}(p) + \mathsf{E}(q) &= \chi(\text{oneset}(n \,.\, p)) + \chi(\text{oneset}(n \,.\, q)) \\
&= \chi(\text{oneset}(n \,.\, p) \cup \text{oneset}(n \,.\, q)) + \chi(\text{oneset}(n \,.\, p) \cap \text{oneset}(n \,.\, q)) \\
&= \chi(\text{oneset}(n \,.\, p \vee n \,.\, q)) + \chi(\text{oneset}(n \,.\, p \wedge n \,.\, q)) \\
&= \chi(\text{oneset}(n \,.\, (p \vee q))) + \chi(\text{oneset}(n \,.\, (p \wedge q))) \\
&= \mathsf{E}(p \vee q) + \mathsf{E}(p \wedge q).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is now complete. □

By [8, §3.4], every finitely presented MV-algebra A is the Lindenbaum algebra of some formula $\theta(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ in Łukasiewicz logic $\mathrm{Ł}_\infty$. The map E of Theorem 1.1 determines the integer-valued map E' from all formulas $\phi(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$, by the stipulation $\mathsf{E}'(\phi) = \mathsf{E}(\phi/\theta)$, where ϕ/θ is the equivalence class of ϕ modulo θ.

Corollary 5.1. E' *is Turing computable.*

Proof. With reference to the proofs of Lemmas 2.2 and 3.1 (or alternatively, Lemma 3.2), let $a \in A$ and let $\mathcal{B}$ a basis in A such that $a = \bigoplus_0^u m_i \,.\, b_i = \sum_0^u m_i b_i$, for distinct Schauder hats $b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_u \in \mathcal{B}$ and integers $m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_u > 0$. Let $1/d_i$ be the maximum value of b_i, for $i = 0, \ldots, u$. As in [8, Definition 5.7], each d_i is a nonzero integer. Since the McNaughton function a is linear on every simplex of $\mathcal{B}$, each nonzero local minimum and each nonzero local maximum of a is of the form m_i/d_i, for some $i = 0, \ldots, u$. Let n_i be the smallest integer such that $n_i \cdot m_i/d_i \geq 1$, and $n = \max(n_0, \ldots, n_u)$. For all integers $k \geq n$ each nonzero local minimum and each nonzero local maximum of $k \,.\, a$ is equal to 1. As a consequence, a has no local minima nor local maxima in $(0, 1/n)$. For each real number $0 < \delta < 1/(2n)$ the function a can be slightly perturbed to obtain a smooth function a_δ with no local minima nor local maxima in $(\delta, 1/n - \delta)$ and such that $\text{uplevelset}_\lambda(a_\delta)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\text{uplevelset}_\lambda(a)$, for any $\lambda \in (\delta, 1/n - \delta)$. We can now apply Morse Theory (e.g [7, Theorem 3.1]) to the functions $\tilde{a}_\delta$, defined by $\tilde{a}_\delta(p) = -a_\delta(p)$ at each point p where a_δ is defined, to obtain the homotopy equivalence of $\text{uplevelset}_{\lambda_1}(a_\delta)$ and $\text{uplevelset}_{\lambda_2}(a_\delta)$ for all $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in (\delta, 1/n - \delta)$. As a consequence, we get the homotopy equivalence of $\text{uplevelset}_{1/k_1}(a)$ and $\text{uplevelset}_{1/k_2}(a)$ for all $k_1, k_2 > n$. This ensures that $\text{oneset}(k_1 \,.\, a)$ and $\text{oneset}(k_2 \,.\, a)$ are homotopy equivalent for all $k_1, k_2 > n$. In conclusion, $\chi(\text{support}(a)) = \chi(\text{oneset}((n+1) \,.\, a))$. Perusal of the proofs of Lemmas 2.2 and 3.1/3.2 in combination with [8, 18.1], shows that given (a formula for) a, some Turing machine will output (formulas for) the basis $\mathcal{B}$, along with the integer n, and the integer $\mathsf{E}(a) = \chi(\text{oneset}((n+1) \,.\, a))$. □

References

[1] A.A. Agrachev, D.Pallasche, S.Scholte, On Morse theory for piecewise smooth functions, Journal of dynamical and control systems, 3 (1997) 449–469.

[2] L. Cabrer, D. Mundici, Finitely presented lattice-ordered abelian groups with order-unit, Journal of Algebra, 343 (2011) 1–10.

[3] R. L. O. Cignoli, I. M. L. D'Ottaviano, D. Mundici, Algebraic Foundations of many-valued Reasoning, Volume 7 of Trends in Logic, Kluwer, Dordrecht, and Springer, Berlin, 2000.

[4] R. Forman, Morse theory for cell complexes, Advances in Mathematics, 134 (1998) 90–145.

[5] V. Marra, L. Spada, The dual adjunction between MV-algebras and Tychonoff spaces, Studia Logica, special issue in memoriam Leo Esakia, 100 (2012) 253–278.

[6] C.R.F. Maunder, Algebraic Topology, Cambridge University Press, 1980.

[7] J. Milnor, Morse theory, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1963.

[8] D. Mundici, Advanced Łukasiewicz Calculus and MV-algebras, Volume 35 of Trends in Logic, Springer, Berlin, 2011.

[9] A. Pedrini, The Euler characteristic of a polyhedron as a valuation on its coordinate vector lattice, submitted to publication. Electronic version: arXiv:1209.3248v1, 14 Sep 2012.

(D. Mundici) Department of Mathematics and Computer Science "Ulisse Dini", University of Florence, viale Morgagni 67/A, 50134 Florence, Italy
E-mail address: mundici@math.unifi.it

(A.Pedrini) Department of Mathematics F. Enriques, University of Milan, via Cesare Saldini 50, 20133 Milan, Italy
E-mail address: andrea.pedrini@unimi.it